CF0000080961

(2)

Copies

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE: CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Cr.Revision No. 21 /2001

Petitioners : 1) Ayodhya Prasad S/o Gorakhnath Pandey aged 28 years, R/O Ghotiya, Tahsil: Jagdalpur, Dist.: Bastar (Chhattisgarh).

2) Harish Chandra S/o Gorakhnath Pandey aged 25 years R/O Ghotiya, Tahsil: Jagdalpur Dist.: Bastar (Chhattisgarh).

3) Gopal Pandey S/o Gorakhnath Pandey aged 22 years, R/O Ghotiya, Tahsil: Jagdalpur, Dist.: Bastar(Chhattisgarh).

4) Laxman S/o Menku Batra aged 26 years R/O Ghotiya, Tahsil: Jagdalpur, Dist.: Bastar (Chhattisgarh).

(228)

Filed on 12.1.2001
by Shri C. Patel Advocate

R. to AR

Versus

Respondent. : State of Chhattisgarh through District Magistrate, Bastar.

MEMO OF REVISION UNDER SECTION 397 READ WITH SECTION 401 & RCRPC ARISING OUT OF ORDER DTD. 02.01.2001 PASSED BY THE SECOND A.S.J.JAGDALPUR IN SESSION TRIAL NO. 79/95.

Cri 397/2001 Cgpr. ⑤

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, ~~मध्यप्रदेश, जबलपुर~~ छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

278 Cr. 21 2001 Held-up

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् ~~199~~

Ayodha Pd. ..... विरुद्ध State .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश (12.1.2001 |
|---|---|---|
| 19.1.2001. | Shri Prashant Mishra, learned Counsel for the applicants.<br>Shri Ranbir Singh, learned counsel for the State.<br>Heard.<br>Being aggrieved by the order passed by the Court below rejecting the applicants' application filed under Section 311 Cr.P.C., applicants have filed this petition.<br>From the orders passed by the Court below it appears that from 23.3.99 to 27.12.2000 number of opportunities were given to the applicants to lead defence evidence, but instead of producing the said evidence, on 27.12.2000 the applicants closed their case/ defence.<br>If that is so, the Court below was certainly justified in | Petition of Cr.<br>Presented on 12.1.2001<br>with .....<br>by Shri G. Patel ..... Adv<br>it be checked fixed for .....<br>.....<br>For, A. R. [J]<br>Sd/-<br>15-1-01<br>The petition checked it appears to be proper, drawn-up, duly stamped, and is accompanied necessary documents. It be registered and placed before a Judge/ Judges for order on 19-1-2001<br>~~Ref. record of the Lower court be called for.~~<br>M. (Cri.) P. 169 /2001 for stay of proceeding/ ~~Condonation of delay/ Grant of bail~~/ Substitution of legal representative ..... presented by Shri G. Patel ..... counsel for the appellant/ Petitioner/ Respondent/ Non applicant on 12-1-01 it be placed before Judge/ Judges on 19-1-2001 for order.<br>Sd/-<br>18/1/01 |

[पीछे देखिये

## आदेश पत्रक ( पुर्वानुबद्ध )

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| contd.<br>19.1.2001.<br><br>Subbu. | rejecting the application submitted under Section 311 Cr.P.C.<br>I find no reason to interfere. The petition is dismissed. | Sd/-<br>R.S. Garg<br>Judge |

Endt. No 487..... Da

Endt. No 1855..... Dated 25/1/01